

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,332	12/12/2001	Seong-Joong Kim	678-735(P10196)	1734
²⁸²⁴⁹ 7590 DILWORTH & BA	01/08/2007 RRESE LLP		EXAMINER RAMAKRISHNAIAH, MELUR	
333 EARLE OVING	GTON BLVD.			
UNIONDALE, NY	11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PER	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/020,332	KIM, SEONG-JOONG			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Melur Ramakrishnaiah	2614			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	• .					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 (October 2006.				
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement	·			
		·				
	on Papers	•	:			
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
🗂	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions Summans	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) S) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2614

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalat (EP 0948128 A1) in view of Ratto (US PAT: 6,993,091, filed 9-26-2001).

Regarding claim 1, Khalat discloses a direct conversion receiver for substantially removing DC offset signals in a mobile communication terminal, the receiver comprising: converting means (30/50, fig.1) for down converting a modulated signal received from an antenna (7, fig. 1), detecting means (210, figs.1-2) for detecting a difference between two DC offset signal components, and adjusting means in (220/230, fig. 1) for substantially reducing the difference (col. 1, line 45 – col. 4, line 58).

Regarding claim 5, Khalat discloses a method for substantially removing DC offset signals utilizing a direct-conversion receiver, the method comprising the steps of: down converting a modulated signal receiver from an antenna (7, fig. 1), detecting a difference between the DC offset signal components from balanced mixers (30/50, fig. 1) and adjusting the difference between detected DC offset signals components to minimize the difference (col. 1, line 45 – col. 4, line 58).

Khalat differs from claims 1 and 5 in that he does not specifically teach: determining whether DC offset is zero and outputting a control voltage to adjust DC-offset to zero.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Ratto discloses correction of DC-OFFSET of I/Q modulator which teaches the following: determining whether DC offset is zero and outputting a control voltage to adjust DC-offset to zero (figs. 1-2, see abstract; col. 3 lines 10-31).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Khalat's system to provide for the following: determining whether DC offset is zero and outputting a control voltage to adjust DC-offset to zero as this arrangement would provide another method to adjust DC-offset to zero by using feedback signals as taught by Ratto.

Regarding claim 6-7, Khalat further teaches the following: outputting a value to minimize the difference, mixing a signal provided by low noise amplifier (20, fig. 1) with two I/Q components generated in local oscillator (70, fig. 1), respectively, converting the mixed signal into a base band signal, and amplifying (by 120/170, fig. 1) the base band signal based upon a value generated by an adjustment means of the direct conversion receiver (col. 1, line 45 – col. 4, line 58).

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalat in view of Ratto as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kataoka et al. (JP410247953A, hereinafter Kataoka).

Regarding claim 2, the combination teaches the following: at least one mixer (30, fig. 1 of '128) for mixing the signal provided from a low amplifier (20, fig. 1 of '128) with two I/Q components, at least one low pass filter (110/160, fig. 1 of '128) for eliminating spurious signals generated in the mixers, and at least one compensation amplifier (in

Art Unit: 2614

200, fig. 2 of '128) for compensating the DC offset signal, wherein the first amplifier has a fixed gain (col. 1, line 45 – col. 4, line 58 of '128).

The combination differs from claim 2 in that he does not teach the following: I and Q components that are separated by 180 degree in phase and second amplifier has a variable gain.

However, Adachi teaches the following: I and Q components that are separated by 180 degree in phase (fig. 2, paragraph: 40-42); Kataoka discloses receiver which teaches the following: amplifier (8, fig. 1) has a variable gain.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: I and Q components that are separated by 180 degree in phase as this arrangement as this arrangement would provide one of the methods, among many possible methods to generate I and Q components as taught by Adachi; second amplifier has a variable gain as this arrangement would provide means for fine tuning DC offset cancellation in the receiver as taught by Kataoka.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khalat in view of Ratto as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mitama (EP 0863606A1).

The combination differs from claim 4 in that he does not teach the following: a switching means for connecting the converting means to detecting means.

Art Unit: 2614

However, Mitama discloses direct conversion receiver capable of canceling DC offset voltages which teaches the following: a switching means (20) for connecting the converting means to detecting means (fig. 1, see abstract).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify the combination to provide for the following: a switching means for connecting the converting means to detecting means as this arrangement would provide alternative method for implementing DC offset cancellation in a receiver as taught by Mitama.

5. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2614

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melur Ramakrishnaiah whose telephone number is (571)272-8098. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 Hr schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curt Kuntz can be reached on (571) 272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melur Ramakrishnaiah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614